

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,794	03/24/2004	Eric C. Stelter	10504	4241
7:	590 04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
MARK G. BOCCHETTI			GOLDBERG, BRIAN J	
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER,	NY 14650-2201		2861	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ES S
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/807,794	STELTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian Goldberg	2861	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	arch 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/05.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recited the limitation "the at least one low level sensor" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8, 11-17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by German et al. (US 6938984).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, German et al. disclose "a first primary color chamber (12A of Fig 1); a second primary color chamber (12B of Fig 1); a custom color chamber (16 of Fig 1); a first pump operative to dispense a measured amount of ink from the first primary color chamber to the custom color chamber (14 of Fig 1); a second pump operative to dispense a measured amount of ink from the second primary color chamber to the custom color chamber (the same part indicated by 14, but below 12B); and a print head operative to print with ink from the custom color chamber (44 of Fig 1)."

Application/Control Number: 10/807,794

Art Unit: 2861

- 5. Regarding claim 2, German et al. disclose "n primary color chambers,
- 6. where n is an integer number greater than 2 (12A-N of Fig 1)."
- 7. Regarding claim 3, German et al. disclose "n custom color chambers,
- 8. where n is an integer number greater than 1 (Fig 3 and col 12 ln 20-25)."
- 9. Regarding claim 4, German et al. disclose "a purging fluid reservoir and a purging fluid source operative to purge the custom color with purging fluid (col 7 ln 47-48, col 9 ln 1-2, 18-35, col 11 ln 55-58, and 22, 24 of Fig 1)."
- 10. Regarding claim 5, German et al. disclose "at least one low level sensor positioned to sense a low fluid level in at least one of the first primary color chamber, the second primary color chamber, and the custom color chamber (col 11 ln 31-40, senses level in custom color chamber)."
- 11. Regarding claim 8, German et al. disclose "a purging fluid reservoir and a purging fluid pump operative to purge the print head with purging fluid (col 7 ln 47-48, col 9 ln 1-2, 18-35 in which it is stated that the valve could be a pump, col 11 ln 55-58, and 22, 24 of Fig 1)."
- 12. Regarding claim 11, German et al. disclose "a purging fluid reservoir (col 7 ln 61-63, col 11 ln 55-58); a purging fluid pump operative to direct purging fluid from the purging fluid reservoir to a feed valve (col 9 ln 18-35); the feed valve being operative to alternatively direct purging fluid from the purging fluid pump or ink from the custom color chamber to the print head (col 9 ln 18-35, see Fig 1)."
- 13. Regarding claim 12, German et al. disclose "a purging fluid reservoir (col 7 ln 61-63, col 11 ln 55-58); a purging fluid pump operative to direct purging fluid from the

Art Unit: 2861

purging fluid reservoir to a feed valve (col 9 ln 18-35); the feed valve being operative to alternatively direct purging fluid from the purging fluid pump or ink from the custom color chamber to the print head without generating bubbles in the feed valve (col 9 ln 18-35, see Fig 1)."

- 14. Regarding claim 13, German et al. disclose "a dispensing valve operative to dispense a predetermined quantity of ink into the custom color chamber (14 of Fig 1, col 11 ln 1-8)."
- 15. Regarding claim 14, German et al. disclose "an ink sensor positioned to sense a color of ink in the custom color chamber (54 of Fig 2, col 11 ln 9-12).
- 16. Regarding claim 15, German et al. disclose "a controller (60 of Fig 2) operative to report the color of ink in the custom color chamber to a print apparatus operator (col 11 ln 9-16)."
- 17. Regarding claim 16, German et al. disclose "a controller (60 of Fig 2) operative to induce dispensing of ink from one or more of the primary color chambers into the custom color chamber in order to match a color of ink in the custom color chamber to a predetermined custom color of ink (col 11 ln 1-9)."
- 18. Regarding claim 17, German et al. disclose "an ink sensor positioned to sense a color of ink in the custom color chamber (54 of Fig 2); and a controller (60 of Fig 2) operative to induce dispensing of ink from one or more of the primary color chambers into the custom color chamber in order to match the color of ink in the custom color chamber to a predetermined custom color of ink with feedback from the ink sensor (col 11 ln 1-9)."

Art Unit: 2861

19. Regarding claim 22, German et al. disclose "the custom color chamber being removable from the print apparatus (col 12 ln 35-39, col 14 ln 15-17)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German et al. in view of Karlinski et al. (US 6485137). German et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above with respect to claim 5 as well as a controller (60 of Fig 2) and a low level sensor (col 11 ln 31-40). Thus German et al. meet the claimed invention except having the controller be "operative to halt printing by the print head in response to the at least one low level sensor sensing a low fluid level and to notify a print apparatus operator."
- 22. Karlinski et al. teach having a controller "operative to halt printing by the print head in response to the at least one low level sensor sensing a low fluid level and to notify a print apparatus operator (col 2 ln 45-52)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the controller stop printing due to a low fluid level. One would have been motivated to so modify German et al. for the benefit of preventing operation of the printer when there is low or no ink in the printhead channels which may damage certain components of the printhead.

Art Unit: 2861

23. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German et al. in view of Nakamura (US 20050083367). German et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above with respect to claim 8. Thus German et al. meet the claimed invention except "at least one low level sensor position to sense a low fluid level in the purging fluid reservoir."

- 24. Nakamura teaches "at least one low level sensor positioned to sense a low fluid level in the purging fluid reservoir (Par [0072])." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include a low level sensor in the purging fluid reservoir. One would have been motivated to so modify German et al. for the benefit of ensuring that there is enough purging fluid to clean the channels sufficiently to avoid color mixing.
- 25. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German et al. in view of Donahue et al. (US 6494553). German et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above with respect to claim 8, as well as a controller (60 of Fig 2). Thus German et al. meet the claimed invention except having the controller be "operative to halt printing by the print head in response to the at least on low level sensor sensing a low fluid level (col 4 ln 66- col 5 ln 3)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the controller stop printing due to a low fluid level. One would have been motivated to so modify German et al. for the benefit of preventing operation of the printer when there is low or no fluid in the channels, which may damage certain components of the printhead.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,794

Art Unit: 2861

26. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German et al. in view of Baker et al. (US 6832824). German et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above with respect to claim 1 as well as "a controller (60 of Fig 2) operative to induce dispensing of ink from one or more of the primary color chambers into the custom color chamber in order to match a color of ink... to a predetermined... color of ink (col 11 ln 1-9)." Thus German et al. meet the claimed invention except "a print sensor positioned to sense a color of ink printed by the print head," the controller being able to "report the color of ink printed by the print head to a print apparatus operator," and the controller matching a color of ink to a predetermined color of ink operation being able use "a color of ink printed by the print head."

Page 7

27. Baker et al. teach "a print sensor positioned to sense a color of ink printed by the print head (see abstract In 2-3)," and the controller being able to "report the color of ink printed by the print head to a print apparatus operator (by way of the display 11)." The apparatus disclosed by German et al. was able to match a color of ink sensed in the custom color chamber to a predetermined custom color of ink. Thus, with the addition of a sensor to sense the color of ink printed by the print head, it would then be able to match a color of ink sensed after printing by the print head to a predetermined color. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have a print sensor sense a color of ink printed by the print head and report the color of ink to a print apparatus operator. One would have been motivated to so modify German et al. for the benefit of making the apparatus more robust by matching the color in the custom color chamber and printed by the print head to a predetermined

Art Unit: 2861

color, and taking into consideration that colors may appear differently on different

media.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Goldberg whose telephone number is 571-272-

2728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJG

April 13, 2006

W

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800